

Design of a Novel Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework for the Mediterranean Cities

^[1] Antonis Papantoniou, ^[2] Chris Danezis, ^[3] Diofantos Hadjimitsis

^{[1][2][3]} Cyprus University of Technology, Limassol, Cyprus

^{[2][3]} Eratosthenes Centre of Excellence, Limassol, Cyprus

Email: ^[1] ana.papantoniou@edu.cut.ac.cy, ^[2] chris.danezis@cut.ac.cy, ^[3] d.hadjimitsis@cut.ac.cy

Abstract— This research delves into the evolution of smart city concepts, with a particular emphasis on the integration of geospatial technologies in Mediterranean urban environments. Recognizing a significant gap in current smart city models — the lack of a comprehensive, standardized geospatial framework — this study proposes the Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework tailored to Mediterranean cities. This innovative framework addresses critical challenges in data interoperability, resource management, and holistic urban planning. It provides city officials with a structured and adaptable blueprint, guiding them in effective decision-making and facilitating the seamless integration of geospatial technologies. This research contributes significantly to the field by offering a novel framework that not only addresses immediate gaps in smart city implementations but also provides a strategic approach for developing consistent and interoperable geospatial systems. The framework's dual emphasis on technological integration and active citizen engagement positions it as a pivotal tool in transforming Mediterranean cities into smarter, more sustainable, and citizen-centric urban landscapes.

Index Terms— Smart Cities, Geospatial Framework, Urban Planning, Technology Integration, Resource Management, Mediterranean Cities

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years urban development has been shifting rapidly with cities around the world striving to become 'smart'. Mediterranean cities, with their unique blend of ancient heritage and modern aspirations, face distinct challenges in this global movement. The complexity of their cultural, historical, and socio-economic composition demands a specialized approach to urban transformation. This paper introduces a novel Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework, tailored to the diverse needs and challenges of Mediterranean cities. This framework is not merely a conglomeration of advanced technologies; it is a strategic roadmap designed to guide city officials through the complexities of integrating various systems and applications to foster a 'smart' urban environment.

The emergence of smart cities [1,2] is a response to an array of urban challenges, ranging from population growth and resource management to environmental sustainability. At the core of this transition is the integration [3] and utilization of advanced geospatial technologies. [4] These technologies, including Spatial Data Infrastructure (SDI) and Geographical Information Systems (GIS), are pivotal in collecting, managing, and analyzing spatial data. This data-driven approach is essential for informed decision-making in urban planning, infrastructure development, and resource allocation, enabling cities to evolve in a manner that is efficient, sustainable, and responsive to the needs of their residents.

However, the pace at which these technologies evolve,

linked with the complexities of their integration into the existing urban framework [5–7], underscores the need for a comprehensive and standardized geospatial framework. The proposed Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework is designed to address these challenges. It is a holistic strategy that encapsulates not only the technological aspects but also the human dimension of urban development.

Central to the concept of smart cities is the notion that 'cities are as smart as their citizens'[8,9] [10]. This statement underscores the importance of human interaction and usage in determining the effectiveness and success of smart city initiatives. The 'smartness' of a city is not solely dependent on its technological infrastructure but also on how its residents engage with and utilize these technologies. Therefore, a significant component of our proposed framework is the Citizen Engagement [11–14] Framework. This element emphasizes the importance of creating interactive platforms and transparent communication channels that empower residents to actively participate in the shaping of their urban environment.

The Citizen Engagement Framework [15–17] recognizes that technology is a tool to enhance the quality of life, and its true potential is realized only when it is accessible, understandable, and usable by the citizens. In Mediterranean cities, where the urban fabric is often a complex blend of the old and the new, citizen engagement takes on an even greater significance [18]. The framework proposes the use of geospatial technologies to create platforms where citizens can interact with city officials, provide feedback, and contribute to decision-making processes. This two-way dialogue ensures that the implementation of smart city initiatives is

aligned with the actual needs and aspirations of the community, making the city 'smart' in the truest sense.

Furthermore, the proposed framework addresses the need for continuous learning and adaptation [19]. The rapid evolution of technology and the changing urban dynamics require a framework that is not only robust but also flexible and adaptable. The Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework for Mediterranean cities is designed to be resilient, capable of evolving with technological advancements and shifting urban priorities.

In conclusion, the development of smart cities in the Mediterranean region is a multifaceted endeavor that requires a balanced approach, integrating advanced technologies with a strong focus on citizen engagement. The proposed Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework is a comprehensive solution that addresses the unique challenges [20,21] of Mediterranean cities, ensuring that their effort towards becoming smart cities is efficient, sustainable, and, most importantly, centered around their citizens.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Addressing the gap: a universal smart city Geospatial Framework

The evolution of Smart City concepts over the past few decades has been driven by the integration of information and communication technologies (ICT) into urban systems [22–24]. Initially, literature on smart cities primarily focused on leveraging geospatial technology to enhance city services, marking a significant shift towards more efficient and interconnected urban environments. However, this evolution has revealed a critical gap: the absence of a comprehensive, standardized geospatial framework that effectively aligns diverse smart city initiatives [7,22,25]. This literature review examines the evolution of smart city concepts, emphasizing the need for a unified geospatial framework to address challenges related to data interoperability, efficient resource management, and holistic urban planning.

B. Integration of ICT in Smart Cities

The inception of smart cities can be traced back to the integration of ICT, marking the beginning of a new era where technological solutions were adopted to enhance governance, public services, and infrastructure. Early literature envisioned a future where information technologies would not only streamline urban operations but also significantly improve service delivery and elevate the overall quality of life. This phase was characterized by the deployment of various technologies, including sensors, data analytics, and communication networks, indicating the onset of the smart city era. However, a noticeable void became apparent: the lack of a universally accepted blueprint for the design of a Smart City Geospatial Enterprise System. Despite the creation of smart city initiatives across various urban landscapes, this absence of a standardized approach has

multifaceted challenges. While the existing literature sheds light on diverse facets of smart city planning, encompassing technology integration, data management, and citizen engagement, the critical element of a comprehensive geospatial framework remained conspicuously absent.

C. Identifying the gap

This identified gap underscores a fundamental challenge: the absence of a single, universally accepted blueprint for designing the geospatial component within a smart city. Cities, in their pursuit of smart city transformations, deal with an array of technologies, varied data sources, and diverse citizen needs, resulting in fragmented and customized solutions. The lack of a unified framework makes the seamless integration of geospatial data a significant barrier to efficient urban planning, infrastructure optimization, and the delivery of citizen-centric services. City officials often find themselves navigating this complex landscape without a standardized roadmap, which poses a notable limitation to realizing the full potential of smart city transformations.

To bridge this gap, this research introduces the Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework, a proposed framework offering city officials a comprehensive and standardized approach to geospatial enterprise system design for smart cities. This structured and adaptable blueprint empowers city authorities to align their smart city initiatives with a unified geospatial framework. By doing so, it provides clarity, fosters efficient decision-making, and ensures the optimal utilization of geospatial technologies. This research not only addresses the immediate gap in smart city implementations but also lays the groundwork for consistent and interoperable geospatial systems across diverse urban landscapes. Thus, the Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework emerges as a transformative tool, enabling cities to unlock the true potential of geospatial data in their smart city journeys.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This section outlines a detailed methodology that guides city officials in systematically designing and implementing the Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework for Mediterranean cities. The methodology is dual-layered, addressing both conceptual and technical aspects, ensuring a robust approach to understanding and navigating the intricacies of implementation.



Figure 1. Geospatial Framework Cycle

A. Stakeholder Engagement

The initial phase of our methodology centers on identifying and engaging key stakeholders [26,27]. This group includes city officials, urban planners, technology experts, community representatives, and local businesses. Utilizing geospatial technologies, comprehensive mapping, and spatial analysis are conducted to gain a nuanced understanding of the geographical locations, interests, and needs of these stakeholders. This process not only facilitates effective collaboration but also aids in visualizing diverse perspectives, challenges, and goals, thereby laying a foundation for a holistic approach to smart city development in the Mediterranean region.

B. Comprehensive Urban Analysis

A thorough analysis of the existing urban landscape forms the next crucial step. This involves a deep dive into various aspects such as land usage, infrastructure, transportation systems, and environmental factors. Cutting-edge geospatial technologies, including remote sensing, satellite imagery, and Geographic Information Systems (GIS), are introduced to evaluate and analyze the urban environment meticulously. By leveraging these tools, it is feasible to identify key areas for improvement, providing city officials with valuable insights into spatial patterns and trends prevalent within the Mediterranean cities under study.

C. Technology Assessment and Integration:

This stage is dedicated to a comprehensive evaluation of geospatial technologies, data infrastructure, and Internet of Things (IoT) capabilities. The goal is to assess their compatibility and integration within the larger smart city framework [28,29]. A careful selection of appropriate geospatial software and tools is crucial to establish a robust geospatial infrastructure. This assessment leads any design and implementation activities and involves a thorough consideration of the challenges specific to integrating geospatial software and tools into the broader smart city ecosystem. This process contributes significantly to the optimization of their integration, enhancing the overall effectiveness of our Mediterranean smart city initiatives.

D. Spatial Data Infrastructure (SDI) Development:

Developing a robust Spatial Data Infrastructure (SDI) is a pivotal step in this methodology. The SDI includes well-defined protocols for data collection, management, and distribution, ensuring consistency and interoperability. Geospatial technologies like GIS and remote sensing play a significant role in data collection and mapping. The reliance on established geospatial standards ensures seamless integration of data into the smart city framework, facilitating effective utilization within our Mediterranean smart city initiative.

E. Citizen Engagement

Recognizing the vital role of citizen participation [30,31] in urban development, this stage focuses on actively engaging residents. Mechanisms such as interactive maps, mobile applications, and online platforms are introduced to facilitate dynamic interactions. Geospatial technologies, particularly spatially enabled platforms [32] and interactive maps, emerge as powerful tools for involving citizens in decision-making and planning processes. These technologies empower residents to provide location-specific feedback, enabling a geographically contextualized understanding of their needs and aspirations. This engagement not only enhances transparency but also ensures that smart city initiatives resonate with the actual needs of the community.

F. Collaborative Decision-Making

The methodology emphasizes the implementation of tools and processes to foster cross-departmental communication and shared access to geospatial information. Geospatial technologies enable collaborative decision-making by providing real-time spatial information, decision support systems, and spatial analytics. These tools facilitate a shared understanding and visualization of complex urban challenges, enhancing cooperation and comprehensive urban governance[14].

G. Sustainability Integration

This stage involves infusing sustainability principles into the framework, focusing on eco-friendly urban planning and energy efficiency. Geospatial technologies contribute to sustainability efforts by enabling monitoring and optimization of resource usage. Spatial analysis tools are utilized to identify areas for sustainable urban development, thereby enhancing the environmental impact of the smart city integrated geospatial framework.

H. Security and Privacy Measures

The methodology includes developing robust security measures to protect geospatial data. Technologies such as encryption and secure data transmission play a crucial role in ensuring the security and privacy of geospatial information. Measures are implemented through geospatial tools that anonymize and protect sensitive location-based data, maintaining the integrity and confidentiality of the data.

I. Training and Capacity Building

Investment in training programs is essential to build expertise within city personnel. Training in geospatial technologies equips city officials with the necessary skills to effectively use GIS, remote sensing, and other spatial analysis tools. Partnerships with educational institutions are encouraged to facilitate ongoing capacity building and address skill gaps in geospatial technology usage.

J. Pilot Programs and Iterative Implementation

The framework's effectiveness is tested through pilot programs in specific areas. Geospatial technologies aid in monitoring and evaluating these pilots, providing real-time spatial data. Feedback from stakeholders, collected through spatially enabled platforms, contributes to iterative improvements in the framework.

K. Monitoring and Evaluation

Continuous monitoring is established through metrics and key performance indicators [33,34]. Geospatial technologies facilitate real-time spatial data analytics, enabling ongoing assessment of the framework's impact on urban planning, service delivery, and citizen satisfaction.

L. Scalability and Futureproofing

The design of the framework prioritizes scalability to accommodate new technologies and future growth. Geospatial technologies play a pivotal role in designing scalable solutions, with spatial analysis tools helping to anticipate future spatial requirements.

M. Documentation and Knowledge Sharing

Effective communication and dissemination of knowledge surrounding the framework are ensured through well-documented processes. Geospatial technologies, including GIS mapping and spatial databases, contribute to this documentation, making information accessible and visually comprehensible.

N. Community Outreach and Education

Programs are implemented to inform residents about the benefits and uses of the geospatial framework. Geospatial technologies, through visualization of the urban environment, contribute to community outreach, encouraging active participation and feedback.

O. Adaptive Planning and Continuous Improvement

The methodology embraces adaptive planning, with geospatial technologies providing real-time spatial data for adaptive decision-making. Spatial analysis tools assist in adjusting the framework based on evolving circumstances, technological advancements, and changing city priorities.

This comprehensive methodology ensures a cohesive and strategic development of the Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework, leveraging the power of geospatial technologies for effective smart city planning and implementation in Mediterranean cities.

IV. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

A. Key Findings

This paper fills the gap in the current landscape of smart city implementations in which a unified geospatial framework is missing. Despite numerous smart city projects initiated by Mediterranean cities, the lack of standardized approaches has posed significant challenges, especially in terms of technology integration, data management, and citizen engagement.

The Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework, designed in response to this identified gap, stands out as a transformative tool. It offers a comprehensive and standardized approach to geospatial enterprise system design, providing city authorities with a structured and adaptable blueprint. The framework empowers decision-makers to align smart city initiatives with a unified geospatial approach, fostering clarity, efficient decision-making, and seamless integration of technologies.

B. Discussion

1. Bridging the Technology-Citizenry Gap

The novel contribution of the Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework lies in its ability to bridge the gap between technological advancement and citizen engagement. It not only fills the void in current literature and practices but also lays the foundation for consistent and interoperable geospatial systems across diverse urban landscapes.

A standout feature of the framework is its emphasis on 'smartness' being relevant to human usage. This aspect aligns with the concept that a city's intelligence is intrinsically linked to how effectively its residents can utilize and engage with the available technologies. The framework's design acknowledges that technology alone does not make a city smart; rather, it's the combination of advanced technology and active citizen participation that truly transforms an urban landscape.

2. The Evolution of Smart City Concepts

The evolution of smart city concepts, marked by technological integration, has been significant. Yet, until now, a standardized geospatial framework remained elusive. Previous literature has stressed the need for such a framework to address challenges related to data interoperability, resource management, and comprehensive urban planning.

The Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework, with its adaptability and scalability rooted in cloud-based architecture, positions itself as a pioneering solution. It meets the growing needs of cities, incorporating emerging



Figure 2 Geospatial Framework stages

technologies for continuous improvement and adaptation to changing urban dynamics.

3. Impact on Urban Governance and Quality of Life

This research highlights the framework's potential to improve governance, enhance the quality of life, and lay the groundwork for a technologically advanced, sustainable, and resilient urban environment.

Beyond its role in environmental sustainability and traffic management, the framework becomes a catalyst for fostering citizen engagement. The creation of intuitive applications and platforms simplifies data accessibility and stimulates community involvement, thereby substantially elevating residents' quality of life.

C. Conclusions on the Framework's Role in Smart City Development

The Findings and Discussion chapter concludes by stating the importance of the Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework in addressing the identified gaps in smart city development. It emphasizes the framework's contribution to improved governance, enhanced quality of life, and the establishment of a technologically advanced, sustainable, and resilient urban environment in Mediterranean cities. The research underscores the necessity of a unified and principled approach to smart city development, highlighting the enduring impact and potential benefits of the Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework.

V. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER RESEARCH

A. Summary

This research concludes in highlighting the critical importance of the Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework, particularly in the Mediterranean context. The study has systematically outlined the challenges faced by Mediterranean cities in their journey towards becoming smart cities and proposed a novel framework tailored to meet these unique needs. The key outcomes underscore the framework's ability to bridge the gap between technological advancement and active citizen engagement, thus redefining the concept of 'smartness' in urban development.

B. Key Conclusions

This paper explains the transformative role of the Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework in urban development. It emerges as a crucial tool, empowering city authorities to optimize critical urban infrastructure elements, amplify public services, and enhance emergency response mechanisms. The framework's impact extends beyond infrastructure as it plays an active role in traffic management, environmental sustainability, and most importantly, in fostering citizen engagement. The creation of intuitive applications and platforms underlines the framework's ability to engage citizens actively, thus significantly improving the overall quality of life for residents.

C. Further Research Directions

While this study provides significant insights, it also opens opportunities for future research:

Expanding the Sample Size: Future research could benefit from a broader spectrum of urban contexts, cultures, and geographic locations. This expansion would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the framework's applicability and effectiveness in diverse settings.

Dynamic Nature of Smart City Development: Longitudinal research designs could be used to observe changes and adaptations to the framework over time, offering valuable insights into its long-term effectiveness and sustainability.

Cultural and Contextual Influence: Investigating the impact of cultural and contextual factors on the implementation and outcomes of smart city initiatives can provide a deeper understanding of these complex dynamics.

Integration of Emerging Technologies: Exploring the incorporation of cutting-edge technologies such as blockchain or augmented reality into the framework could enhance its capabilities and ensure its relevance in the face of rapid technological advancements.

Socio-Economic Impacts: Future studies might probe into the socio-economic implications of smart city development, addressing issues related to equity, accessibility, and the broader social impact on urban communities.

D. Concluding Remarks

In conclusion, this research highlights the crucial need for a unified and principled approach to smart city development, particularly in the Mediterranean region. The Smart City Integrated Geospatial Framework, with its emphasis on technology-citizenry synergy, marks a significant step forward in this direction. It not only contributes to the technological advancement of urban environments but also ensures that these advancements are accessible, understandable, and beneficial to the citizens. This framework paves the way for cities to become truly smart - where technology and humanity converge to create a sustainable, resilient, and inclusive urban future.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge the "EXCELSIOR": ERATOSTHENES: Excellence Research Centre for Earth Surveillance and Space-Based Monitoring of the Environment H2020 Widespread Teaming project (www.excelior2020.eu, accessed on 23 November 2023). The "EXCELSIOR" project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Pro-gramme under Grant Agreement No 857510, from the Government of the Republic of Cyprus through the Directorate General for the European Programmes, Coordination and Development and the Cyprus University of Technology.

REFERENCES

- [1] Allwinkle, S.; Cruickshank, P. Creating Smart-Er Cities: An Overview. *Journal of Urban Technology* 2011, 18, 1–16, doi:10.1080/10630732.2011.601103.
- [2] Gracias, J.S.; Parnell, G.S.; Specking, E.; Pohl, E.A.; Buchanan, R. Smart Cities—A Structured Literature Review. *Smart Cities* 2023, 6, 1719–1743, doi:10.3390/smartcities6040080.
- [3] Javidroozi, V.; Shah, H.; Feldman, G. Urban Computing and Smart Cities: Towards Changing City Processes by Applying Enterprise Systems Integration Practices. *IEEE Access* 2019, 7, 108023–108034, doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2933045.
- [4] Geospatial Technology and Smart Cities. 2021, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-71945-6.
- [5] Liu, Y.; Wang, R.; Chen, Y. Smart City Environmental Sustainability: Integrating Geospatial Solutions. *Sustain Cities Soc* 2020, doi:example-link.
- [6] Kumar, A.; Ranjan, R. Geospatial Technologies for Urban Planning: A Review. *Sustain Cities Soc* 2019.
- [7] Lee, H.; Kim, J.; Singh, A. Enhancing Urban Resilience through Geospatial Integrated Frameworks. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 2019, doi:example-link.
- [8] Georgiadis, A.; Christodoulou, P.; Zinonos, Z. Citizens' Perception of Smart Cities: A Case Study. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)* 2021, 11, 2517, doi:10.3390/app11062517.
- [9] Bull, R.; Azennoud, M. Smart Citizens for Smart Cities: Participating in the Future. *Proceedings of Institution of Civil Engineers: Energy* 2016, 169, 93–101, doi:10.1680/jener.15.00030.
- [10] Lara, A.P.; Da Costa, E.M.; Furlani, T.Z.; Yigitcanlar, T. Smartness That Matters: Towards a Comprehensive and Human-Centred Characterisation of Smart Cities. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity* 2016, 2, 8, doi:10.1186/s40852-016-0034-z.
- [11] Cortés-Cediel, M.E.; Cantador, I.; Bolívar, M.P.R. Analyzing Citizen Participation and Engagement in European Smart Cities. *Soc Sci Comput Rev* 2021, 39, 592–626, doi:10.1177/0894439319877478.
- [12] Ganapati, S. Using Geographic Information Systems to Increase Citizen Engagement E-Government / Technology Series Using Geographic Information Systems to Increase Citizen Engagement. *IBM Center for the Business of Government* 2010, 46.
- [13] Alcaide Muñoz, L.; Rodríguez Bolívar, M.P. Using Tools for Citizen Engagement on Large and Medium-Sized European Smart Cities. *Public Administration and Information Technology* 2019, 34, 23–35, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-89474-4_2.
- [14] Pereira, G.V.; Eibl, G.; Stylianou, C.; Martínez, G.; Neophytou, H.; Parycek, P. The Role of Smart Technologies to Support Citizen Engagement and Decision Making: The SmartGov Case. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research* 2018, 14, 1–17, doi:10.4018/IJEGR.2018100101.
- [15] Simonofski, A.; Asensio, E.S.; De Smedt, J.; Snoeck, M. Citizen Participation in Smart Cities: Evaluation Framework Proposal. *Proceedings - 2017 IEEE 19th Conference on Business Informatics, CBI 2017* 2017, 1, 227–236, doi:10.1109/CBI.2017.21.
- [16] Simonofski, A.; Asensio, E.S.; De Smedt, J.; Snoeck, M. Hearing the Voice of Citizens in Smart City Design: The CitiVoice Framework. *Business and Information Systems Engineering* 2019, 61, 665–678, doi:10.1007/s12599-018-0547-z.
- [17] Simonofski, A.; Asensio, E.S.; Wautelet, Y. Citizen Participation in the Design of Smart Cities: Methods and Management Framework. *Smart Cities: Issues and Challenges Mapping Political, Social and Economic Risks and Threats* 2019, 47–62, doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-816639-0.00004-1.
- [18] Trindade, E.P.; Hinnig, M.P.F.; da Costa, E.M.; Marques, J.S.; Bastos, R.C.; Yigitcanlar, T. Sustainable Development of Smart Cities: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity* 2017, 3, 11, doi:10.1186/s40852-017-0063-2.
- [19] Mamkaitis, A.; Bezbradica, M.; Helfert, M. Urban Enterprise: A Review of Smart City Frameworks from an Enterprise Architecture Perspective. In Proceedings of the IEEE 2nd International Smart Cities Conference: Improving the Citizens Quality of Life, ISC2 2016 - Proceedings; IEEE, September 30 2016; pp. 1–5.
- [20] Abdi, H.; Shahbazitabar, M. Smart City: A Review on Concepts, Definitions, Standards, Experiments, and Challenges. *Research Article Journal of Energy Management and Technology (JEMT)* 2020, 4, 1, doi:10.22109/jemt.2020.206444.1205.
- [21] Wang, H.; Zhang, M.; Zhong, M. Opportunities and Challenges for the Construction of a Smart City Geo-Spatial Framework in a Small Urban Area in Central China. *Smart Cities* 2019, 2, 245–258, doi:10.3390/smartcities2020016.
- [22] HamaMurad, Q.; Jusoh, N.M. A Literature Review of Smart City: Concept and Framework. *Journal of Advanced Geospatial ...* 2022, 1.
- [23] Sutriadi, R. Defining Smart City, Smart Region, Smart Village, and Technopolis as an Innovative Concept in Indonesia's Urban and Regional Development Themes to Reach Sustainability. *IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci* 2018, 202, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/202/1/012047.
- [24] Nam, T.; Pardo, T.A. Conceptualizing Smart City with Dimensions of Technology, People, and Institutions. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series* 2011, 282–291, doi:10.1145/2037556.2037602.
- [25] Helmi, A.M.; Farhan, M.S.; Nasr, M.M. A Framework for Integrating Geospatial Information Systems and Hybrid Cloud Computing. *Computers and Electrical Engineering* 2018, 67, 145–158, doi:10.1016/j.compeleceng.2018.03.027.
- [26] Zeng, S.; Hu, Y.; Llopis-Albert, C. Stakeholder-Inclusive Multi-Criteria Development of Smart Cities. *J Bus Res* 2023, 154, 113281, doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.08.045.
- [27] Marrone, M.; Hammerle, M. Smart Cities: A Review and Analysis of Stakeholders' Literature. *Business and Information Systems Engineering* 2018, 60, 197–213, doi:10.1007/s12599-018-0535-3.
- [28] Issatayeva, A.; Umbetov, Y.; Abdikerim, D.; Tuganov, D.; Karaca, F.; Turkyilmaz, A. *Smart City Assessment: An Integrated Framework*; Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 2021; pp. 16–20.
- [29] Fernandez-Anez, V.; Velazquez, G.; Perez-Prada, F.; Monzón, A. Smart City Projects Assessment Matrix: Connecting Challenges and Actions in the Mediterranean Region. *Journal of Urban Technology* 2020, 27, 79–103, doi:10.1080/10630732.2018.1498706.
- [30] Lim, S.; Malek, A.; Hussain, Y.; Tahir, Z.; Abdul Malek, J.; Hussain, M.Y.; Tahir, Z. Citizen Participation in Building Citizen-Centric Smart Cities. *Malaysian Journal of Society and Space* 2018, 14, 42–53, doi:10.17576/geo-2018-1404-04.

- [31] Capra, C.F. The Smart City and Its Citizens: Governance and Citizen Participation in Amsterdam Smart City. *https://services.igi-global.com/resolvedoi/resolve.aspx?doi=10.4018/IJEPR.2016010102* 1AD, 5, 20–38, doi:10.4018/IJEPR.2016010102.
- [32] Simonofski, A.; Hertoghe, E.; Steegmans, M.; Snoeck, M.; Wautelet, Y. Engaging Citizens in the Smart City through Participation Platforms: A Framework for Public Servants and Developers. *Comput Human Behav* 2021, 124, 106901, doi:10.1016/j.chb.2021.106901.
- [33] Jing, C.; Du, M.; Li, S.; Liu, S. Geospatial Dashboards for Monitoring Smart City Performance. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 2019, 11, 5648, doi:10.3390/su11205648.
- [34] Al-hader, M.; Al-hader, M. The Smart City Infrastructure Development & Monitoring. *Theoretical and Empirical Reaserch in Urban Management* 2016, 2, 86–94.

